From: Randy Deitz/DC/USEPA/US Sent: 4/24/2012 9:12:05 AM

To: Pamela Janifer/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

CC:

Subject: Re: Fw: Costs at Dimock

Hi Pamela. I know that R-3 was anxious to transmit the cost estimates. Do you know whether R-3 has transmitted the Dimock cost estimates? Or is OCIR going to handle transmittal to the congressional requesters? Thanks.

From: Pamela Janifer/DC/USEPA/US
To: Randy Deitz/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 04/23/2012 09:34 AM Subject: Re: Fw: Costs at Dimock

Thanks Randy -

I will ask Arvin to talk a look a the final numbers, once received.

Pamela Janifer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Congressional Affairs
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Mailcode: 1301A
Washington, D.C. 20460
202.564.6969
202.501.1549 - FAX
janifer.pamela@epa.gov

From: Randy Deitz/DC/USEPA/US

To: "Pamela Janifer" < Janifer. Pamela @epamail.epa.gov>

Date: 04/20/2012 03:35 PM Subject: Fw: Costs at Dimock

Pamela, I wanted to make sure you were in the loop.

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services.

---- Original Message ----- From: Randy Deitz

Sent: 04/20/2012 12:53 PM EDT To: Gilberto Irizarry; Lisa Feldt

Cc: Barry Breen; Dana Tulis; Larry Stanton; Nancy Jones; "Mathy Stanislaus" <stanislaus.mathy@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Costs at Dimock

Tito, when OEM forward's the final cost document to R-3, would you mention to the region to please have their congressional office coordinate with OCIR before transmitting to the congressional requesters. Thank you.

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services.

DIM0158888 DIM0158888

---- Original Message -----From: Gilberto Irizarry

Sent: 04/20/2012 11:20 AM EDT

To: Lisa Feldt

Cc: Barry Breen; Dana Tulis; Larry Stanton; Nancy Jones; Randy Deitz; "Mathy Stanislaus"

<stanislaus.mathy@epa.gov> Subject: Re: Costs at Dimock

Sure Lisa. ... As soon as you can get us the markup, I will send it forward and call Dennis.

Tito

Sent by Blackberry. Please excuse typos.

Gilberto "Tito" Irizarry Director, Prog. Ops & Coordination Division Office of Emergency Management US Environmental Protection Agency - HQ O: (202) 564-7982

---- Original Message -----

From: Lisa Feldt

C: (202) 821-8138

Sent: 04/20/2012 10:59 AM EDT

To: Gilberto Irizarry

Cc: Barry Breen; Dana Tulis; Larry Stanton; Nancy Jones; Randy Deitz; "Mathy Stanislaus"

<stanislaus.mathy@epa.gov> Subject: Re: Costs at Dimock

Yes and if they are not comfortable let us know. I think Mathy is also going to follow up with Shawn.

Lisa Feldt

Deputy Assistant Administrator Office of Solid Waste & Emergency Response U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Phone: (202) 566-0200: Fax: (202) 566-0207 feldt.lisa@epa.gov

From: Gilberto Irizarry/DC/USEPA/US Lisa Feldt/DC/USEPA/US@EPA To:

Barry Breen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Dana Tulis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Larry Stanton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Randy

Deitz/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Mathy Stanislaus" <stanislaus.mathy@epa.gov>, Nancy Jones/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

04/20/2012 10:54 AM Subject: Re: Costs at Dimock

Lisa:

Any one of us (Larry, Dana T. or myself) can get your mark-up to R3. Will Mathy and you be good with having the region proceed with submitting the write-up if they are comfortable with the adjustments provided through your markup?

Thanks,

Tito

Sent by Blackberry. Please excuse typos.

Gilberto "Tito" Irizarry

Director, Prog. Ops & Coordination Division

Office of Emergency Management

DIM0158888 DIM0158889 US Environmental Protection Agency - HQ

O: (202) 564-7982 C: (202) 821-8138

---- Original Message -----

From: Lisa Feldt

Sent: 04/20/2012 10:49 AM EDT

To: Gilberto Irizarry

Cc: Barry Breen; Dana Tulis; Larry Stanton; Randy Deitz; "Mathy Stanislaus" <stanislaus.mathy@epa.gov>; Nancy

Jones

Subject: Re: Costs at Dimock

Thanks Tito. You understood my question correctly. Given that fact, Mathy and I talked about the Dimock cost paper and would like to roll some things up and not break some think down by detail. I have a markup. Who should I get this to so it gets to Region quickly. The detail was helpful for us to understand and we still may need to have internal discussion on scope of action memo and these costs but that shouldn't hold up getting the Dimock total project cost to date out.

Lisa Feldt

Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Solid Waste & Emergency Response
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Phone: (202) 566-0200: Fax: (202) 566-0207 feldt.lisa@epa.gov

From: Gilberto Irizarry/DC/USEPA/US

To: Dana Tulis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Lisa Feldt/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Larry Stanton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Randy Deitz/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Mathy Stanislaus" <stanislaus.mathy@epa.gov>, Barry Breen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 04/20/2012 09:11 AM Subject: Re: Costs at Dimock

Lisa:

The 2009 Action Memo guidance do not change the cost procedures from the 2001 guidance, it just repeats or reaffirms it.

If I understand your second question correctly, the congressional questions on costs incurred is looking for total costs incurred for the action, as I understand it. For that reason, the cost breakout provided accounts for those under the removal action ceiling as well as the 104b costs.

Tito

Sent by Blackberry. Please excuse typos.

Gilberto "Tito" Irizarry
Director, Prog. Ops & Coordination Division
Office of Emergency Management

US Environmental Protection Agency - HQ O: (202) 564-7982

O: (202) 564-7982 C: (202) 821-8138

---- Original Message -----

From: Dana Tulis

Sent: 04/20/2012 08:44 AM EDT **To:** Lisa Feldt; Larry Stanton

Cc: Randy Deitz; "Mathy Stanislaus" <stanislaus.mathy@epa.gov>; Barry Breen; Gilberto Irizarry

DIM0158888 DIM0158890

Subject: Re: Costs at Dimock

Lisa, Tito and I are both out today, but I am adding in Tito and he will get this assigned and we will review and get back to you, looks achievable.

---- Original Message -----

From: Lisa Feldt

Sent: 04/20/2012 08:37 AM EDT To: Dana Tulis; Larry Stanton

Cc: Randy Deitz; "Mathy Stanislaus" <stanislaus.mathy@epa.gov>; Barry Breen

Subject: Costs at Dimock

Dana, thanks for providing the summary of how costs are accounted for removal in terms of extramural and intramural. In addition to the summary, the 2001 guidance was very helpful. Barry also suggested we look at 2009 guidance which I have link to but can you verify whether or not this guidance modified the specific cost procedures that were discussed in the 2001 guidance? Also in the specific request for costs at Dimock, were they focussed on whether we have or have not exceeded ceiling or were they focussed on overall cost for all activities associated with the removal? Call if you have questions. As I said on Dimock call yesterday, we are trying to close on this today if possible. Thanks, Lisa

DIM0158888 DIM0158891